



Parallelization in OpenFOAM for HPC Deployment

Hands-on activities

Mohammed Elwardi Fadeli^{1,2}, Holger Marschall¹ and Christian Hasse² April, 2024

 ¹ Mathematical Modeling and Analysis (MMA)
 ² Simulation of Reactive Thermo Fluid Systems (STFS) Energy Conversion Group, NHR4CES - TU Darmstadt

Introductory activities

Activity 01: Blocking P2P comms - A first look

- parallelClass class has a lst_ member, initiated differently on each process.
- Your task is to append lst_ from all slave processes (1, 2 and 3) into a single list object on master.
 - ightarrow Using blocking P2P communication between master and a slave each time.
 - ightarrow Append the lists in the order of process IDs.
 - \rightarrow Modify exercises/parallelClass.C file.



Activity 02: Collective comms - A first look

- parallelClass::isPrime(int) is a primitive method to check if its argument is a prime number.
 - \rightarrow But it's serial code! Running it in parallel will **duplicate work** on all processes
 - ightarrow Parallelizing it should yield performance gains
- 1. Domain Decomposition
 - ightarrow This is done already if your data is mesh-related (mesh itself, fields, ... etc)
 - \rightarrow We need to "decompose" the search range [3, sqrt(n)+1] into nProcs ranges.
 - → parallelClass::next(int n, int& i) increments i to the next number in process-controlled range

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

Figure 2: Provided trivial decomposition of the range $[3, \sqrt{n} + 1]$

Activity 02: Collective comms - A first look

2. Blocking P2P comms:

- → Transform parallelClass::isPrime(int) so that it acts on the corresponding range on each of the 4 processes.
- ightarrow All processes **must** decide if it's a prime number, based on results from all other process.
- ightarrow Think: collective comms. Although P2P comms also would work!
- 3. An alternate decomposition:
 - ightarrow Each process is responsible for possible divisors that are **nProcs** apart.
 - \rightarrow Implement this behavior in parallelClass::next(int n, int& i)



Figure 3: A new example decomposition of the range $[3, \sqrt{n} + 1]$

Is there room for improvement?

- 4. Decomposition effect
 - ightarrow Different decompositions result in different loads on processes
 - ightarrow In blocking comms, balanced decompositions are the most efficient
 - ightarrow But keep in mind; if it takes too much time to decompose "dynamically" it might backfire!
- 5. Optimizing the code
 - ightarrow A process can **break** out of loop and return if it finds a viable divisor.
 - $\rightarrow\,$ But can't stop calculations on all other processes.
 - ightarrow Hence, apparently, not worth the trouble.
 - ightarrow But, if all other processes also return early, we can gain some CPU time.
 - \rightarrow Maybe test only on **prime numbers** less than \sqrt{n} + 1?
 - ightarrow The whole parallelization idea was premature optimization, huh!

Activity 03: Blocking P2P comms are not good for your health

- Code in **parallelClass::run()** tries to perform 2 blocking P2P communication operations between two processes.
 - ightarrow When they hang; processes are killed by <code>timeout</code>.
- Your task is to modify the **run** member method so the code no longer hangs.
 - $\rightarrow~$ Pay attention to the order of the send/receive ops!
 - $\rightarrow\,$ The Actual sending happens on <code>*Pstream objects' destruction</code>
 - → Do you think your solution would withstand MPI implementation swapping? (OpenMPI, Intel MPI, MPICH ... etc)



Figure 4: 2 Blocking P2P communication operations at the same time

- Each process communicates a list object to its **neighbour**
 - ightarrow Neighbouring relationships are based on mesh decomposition
 - \rightarrow parallelClass class has nProcs lists as a member variable lists_ (Each list is to be transferred to the process's neighbour)
- Your task is to perform an exchange of the lists so that, at the end of all transfers; each process holds the lists from its neighbors (instead of its own ones).
 - \rightarrow This should be done in <code>parallelClass::swapLists()</code> method
 - \rightarrow Again in execises/parallelClass.C file

Activity 04: Non-Blocking P2P comms for swapping ops



Figure 5: Default (hierarchical) decomposition of the block-mesh in the cavity case

Activity 04: Non-Blocking P2P comms for swapping ops



Figure 5: Default (hierarchical) decomposition of the block-mesh in the cavity case

Activity 04: Non-Blocking P2P comms for swapping ops



Figure 5: Default (hierarchical) decomposition of the block-mesh in the cavity case

• It's popular to assign a **reference cell** if all BCs are gradient-based for a field:

	Common pressure reference point in system/fvSolution						
1 2 3 4 5	PISO { pRefPoint (pRefValue 6 }	(0.0975 0.0025 0); 0;	// At far right-bottom cell of the cavity mesh				

- $\rightarrow\,$ Note that pRefCell is enough for most cases. Information propagated automatically through processor patches!
- mesh.findCell(position); returns:
 - \rightarrow -1 if the position is outside the local mesh (on calling process).
 - $ightarrow \,$ cellID of the cell containing the position otherwise.
- 1. Your first task is to check if the provided position is inside the **global mesh**
 - $\rightarrow~$ Using collective comms; Think: Foam::reduce
 - → Change parallelClass::checkPosition(const vector&) so it returns true if given position is inside the mesh.

- 2. It's useful for everyone to know which process has the corresponding reference cell
 - → Change parallelClass::whoHasReferenceCell(const vector&) so it returns
 -1 if position is outside the mesh; and returns the rank of the process which is responsible for the reference cell otherwise.
 - ightarrow Again, using collective comms.



Figure 6: Suggested setup for reference cell communication

2. It's useful for everyone to know which process has the corresponding reference cell

- → Change parallelClass::whoHasReferenceCell(const vector&) so it returns
 -1 if position is outside the mesh; and returns the rank of the process which is responsible for the reference cell otherwise.
- ightarrow Again, using collective comms.



Figure 6: Suggested setup for reference cell communication

- 2. It's useful for everyone to know which process has the corresponding reference cell
 - → Change parallelClass::whoHasReferenceCell(const vector&) so it returns
 -1 if position is outside the mesh; and returns the rank of the process which is responsible for the reference cell otherwise.
 - ightarrow Again, using collective comms.



Figure 6: Suggested setup for reference cell communication

- So, I wrote a class; now I want its objects to travel through processors.
 - → parallelClass.H file declares an Edge class, to represent "neighbouring relationship" between two processors.
 - $\rightarrow~$ The goal is to build a graph of such edges.
- 1. Your first task is to make this **Edge** class compatible with random-access lists. I.e an Edge object can be put in a list.
 - ightarrow Try ./Alltest and take a look at compilation log.
 - → Modify Edge class declaration/definition so that errors related to its compatibility with List template disappear.

Activity 06: Parallel comms for custom data types

- 2. Implement **operator**<< and **operator**>> so that an **Edge** object can be passed-to/read-from Output/Input streams.
 - \rightarrow Things should at least compile.
 - → Compatibility of Edge with List is tested by the compiler.
 - $\rightarrow\,$ There is also a check for correct graph communications when the graph is gathered then scattered.



Figure 7: Typical way of sending custom objects through *Pstreams using Collective Comms

Activity 07: Special parallel comms for custom data types

- All good, but my class can't have a null constructor!
 - ightarrow Best solution is to use Linked Lists.
 - ightarrow If constructor arguments are needed on the other end; you need a factory sub-class.
- Edge class was modified to fit into linked lists, and can be constructed from a mesh instance and an input stream.
 - \rightarrow Your task is to make it ${\rm *Pstreams}{\rm -ready}$



Figure 8: Typical way of sending custom objects through *Pstreams using P2P Comms

Activity 07: Special parallel comms for custom data types

- All good, but my class can't have a null constructor!
 - ightarrow Best solution is to use Linked Lists.
 - ightarrow If constructor arguments are needed on the other end; you need a factory sub-class.
- Edge class was modified to fit into linked lists, and can be constructed from a mesh instance and an input stream.
 - \rightarrow Your task is to make it ***Pstreams**-ready



Figure 8: Typical way of sending custom objects through *Pstreams using P2P Comms

Final projects

- \rightarrow This project does not work with Foam-Extend as it doesn't support <code>fvOptions</code>.
 - 1. You inherit a repository with a cavity case which works "as expected" in serial
 - 2. Run **./Allrun** from inside the case's directory to compare results from serial and parallel runs.
 - This requires ParaView to be installed.
 - $\cdot\,$ Use the server version for headless machines if you're on a container.
 - The script writes a **log.pvpython** file containing max/min absolute error in velocity values at t = 0.5s between serial and parallel runs of a cavity case.
 - 3. The provided cavity case has a coded **fvOptions** which adds a source term to the velocity equation.
 - Run ./Allrun with the source active
 - Disable the fvOptions source and ./Allclean && ./Allrun again.
 - By either setting codedSource.active to false, in system/fvOptions.
 - $\cdot\,$ Or by moving fvOptions file elsewhere.

How does the custom source work?

- We define a box inside our cavity-case mesh to add an explicit vector source to the **UEqn** there.
- The x-component of the source at each cell $S_i = S_v * \frac{1}{nNeighbours+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{nNeighbours} k_j + k_i\right)$ depends on:
 - 1. Some "total" source value S_v provided by the user.
 - 2. An average value of a coefficient field *k* over the cell and its immediate neighbours which are inside the volume.
- the target volume is defined through spacial dimensions and the corresponding cells are found through a Cell Set: box (0.02 0.02 -1) (0.06 0.06 1)

Project 01: Parallelizing a coded source term



Figure 9: A sample run of the randomized coefficient field *k*. (The white box is where the source is applied)

Suggested Steps

- 1. Identify the problem lines in fvOptions' code.
- Hint: Look for lines which use local mesh information!
- 2. Implement a fix for the identified issues.
 - Hint: Can we get information about neighbouring cells that are on the other processor?
- 3. How optimized/sophisticated do you think your solution is? Share it with your peers and take a look at theirs!

To help you identify the issue, take a look at this parameter variation study (varying source box dimensions, *S*_v parameter and number of MPI processes involved):

 Table 1: Sample trial data for the parameter variation study on the cavity case

Trial	Sv	X _{min}	X _{max}	У _{тіп}	У _{тах}	nProcs	MaxError
0	0.000605	0.02	0.06	0.04	0.09	4	0.24209
1	0.000396	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.06	6	0.09812
2	0.000330	0.01	0.06	0.04	0.07	7	0.20791
3	0.000781	0.01	0.07	0.03	0.06	5	0.31942

Project 01: Parallelizing a coded source term



Figure 10: k coefficient field for a sample from trial data

Project 01: Parallelizing a coded source term

Want a quick way to test your fix?

Conduct your own parameter variation studies while you fix the case!

```
# Clone the helper repository
1
    git clone https://github.com/FoamScience/OpenFOAM-Multi-Objective-Optimization multiOptFoam
2
    cd multiOptFoam
3
    # Install dependencies
4
5
    pip3 install -r requirements.txt
6
    # Copy your case
7
    cp -r ../case .
8
    # Grab the config file (provided with the case)
9
    cp ../config.yaml .
    # Run parameter variation
10
11
    ./paramVariation.pv
    # Now change case/system/fvOptions, clean and rerun the variation study
12
    rm -rf Example* && ./paramVariation
13
    # The goal is to get the maxError column for all trials as close to zero as possible (~ 1e-6)
14
```

\rightarrow This project is supposed to run with the **.com** version of OpenFOAM.

- 1. You inherit a repository with some non-blocking parallel communication code to profile.
 - $\cdot\,$ The repository's structure is similar to the activities.
 - Non-blocking sending of different size lists between processors followed by a reduce.
- 2. Processors suffer from load imbalance but total time is identical across processors!
 - As reported by MPI_Wtime calls.
 - MPI_Barrier is used right before first MPI_Wtime call to ensure all processors start profiling at the same time!
 - Goal is to reduce the load imbalance (assume the source of imbalance is a run-time property).

Few approches can be considered:

- Link-time replacement of MPI functions with custom functions, wrapping original functionality in profiling code.
 - MPI functions are not virtual.
 - $\cdot\,$ We cannot replace their calls through function pointers ... etc.
 - So, exploit **PMPI** interface.
- Take advantage of MPI_T events mechanism.
 - Out of scope for this workshop. But interesting to look into.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

Code snippets are licensed under a GNU Public License.



This offering is not approved or endorsed by OpenCFD Limited, the producer of the OpenFOAM software and owner of the OPENFOAM® and OpenCFD® trade marks.